

# SCTR 157 The Bible & Empire

## Research Paper Evaluation

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

/ 30

Objective	Unacceptable	Marginal	Acceptable	Exemplary	Score
<b>Quality and Control of Argument</b>	Paper reads like a list of citations with no conceptual thread governing their presentation. Synthesis and analysis of material lacking (this could be a lack of integration or poor comprehension of a postcolonial concept, or inadequate attention to a biblical passage).	There is a thesis or descriptive agenda for the paper set out in the introduction, but the paper doesn't deliver on its promises. Synthesis and analysis of material is very thin (this could be a lack of integration or poor comprehension of a postcolonial concept, or inadequate attention to a biblical passage).	Thesis or flow of argument sometimes gets lost, or argument follows the sources too heavily. Good synthesis and analysis of material that demonstrates comprehension of a postcolonial concept and adequate application to biblical composition or interpretation.	Your voice (thesis or descriptive agenda) controls the flow of the paper. The argument is original and insightful, moving beyond any given source to a perspective informed but not governed by the sources used. Strong synthesis and analysis of material, appropriate and insightful application of a postcolonial concept to the origins or interpretation of a biblical passage.	<b>/8</b>
<b>Comprehension and Integration of Sources</b>	Discussion of quotations or references indicates poor comprehension of them. Some citations dropped in to paper but not introduced or discussed (you need to show the reader exactly how they relate to your argument). Serious problems with citations in notes and/or bibliography. Sources are not professional and/or do not go far beyond required class readings.	Comprehension of sources is adequate, but there are some problems in how you've integrated them in your paper, such as lack of appropriate correlation to your argument in that particular paragraph, or inadequate citation. Some sources are not professional/peer-reviewed.	Good selection, comprehension and citation of the sources, but the integration into your argument could be improved.	Excellent selection and critical comprehension of sources. Citations never overtake paragraph, but are well introduced and their implications for your argument are discussed clearly. All source references and quotations are cited properly in notes.	<b>/7</b>
<b>Clarity of Expression</b>	Poor grammar and spelling seriously impede effective communication.	Grammar and spelling are a problem, but your ideas are nevertheless apparent.	Occasional grammar and spelling problems, but good variation in sentence styles.	No grammar and spelling errors. Good variety of sentence styles.	<b>/8</b>
<b>Format and Style</b>	Failure to conform paper to Style Sheet.	Some serious problems in notes, bibliography, margins, or type face size.	Margins, type face and general format fine; some problems with note or bibliography style.	Paper conforms to Style Sheet.	<b>/3</b>
<b>Timely Submissions</b>	Stages of paper chronically late or incomplete.	A few stages late or incomplete.	One stage submitted late or incomplete.	All stages submitted on time and complete.	<b>/4</b>