		_	
	Class 2b		
8	RECOVERING JESUS IN THE SECOND & THIRD QUESTS		
		1	
	Outline		
	■ The Second or "New" Quest		
	The Third QuestPrinciples		
	Points on which critics agreePoints on which critics disagree		
8	 How to Evaluate Historicity For literary texts 		
	 For archaeological evidence 		
] .	
] .	
В	THE SECOND OR "NEW" QUEST		
Ĭ	INERECOUNT IN INFIN ANERI		
Ш]	

The Second or "New" Quest

Ernst Käsemann (1906–1998) The Problem of the Historical Jesus (1954)



- The Christ of faith cannot be divorced from the Jesus of history
- A critically ensured minimum of authentic Jesus material can be recovered, if you filter out
 - Anything that looked too Jewish
 - Anything that looked too much like later Christianity
 - Anything that looked too much like human culture

THE THIRD QUEST

The Third Quest

The Current One

- Aims to study the strands of the textual tradition
- Utilizes new manuscript discoveries to develop a richer portrait of the textual tradition and social world
- Develops the criteria of historicity to filter tradition more effectively than the Second Quest
- Mines archaeological data to build more accurate portrait of social, political, economic context











Points on which Critics Agree ■ Jesus was born late in Herod the Great's reign Born and raised in Nazareth by Mary (and maybe Joseph); had brothers and sisters ■ Baptized around age 28–30 • Preached a variation of John the Baptist's message Associated with social pariahs (and respectable citizens) • Itinerant preacher and healer who embraced a lifestyle of poverty (he was a "peasant," so already subsistence) • Encountered opposition from some Jews, Romans Crucified Points on which critics disagree Jesus said nothing Jesus emphasized an about the end of time imminent eschatological judgment like the Greek Cynicslike the Jewish prophets itinerant philosophers This is the position of the Jesus Seminar This was the position of the earliest scholars of the Third Quest **HOW TO EVALUATE HISTORICITY**

Evaluating Historicity

For Literary Texts

Something in the texts is more likely to be historical if it is $\label{eq:control} % \begin{center} \begin{ce$

- IN the text (not an argument from silence)
- eyewitness testimony
- embarrassing to the author
- multiply attested in independent witnesses
- coherent with other sayings/actions established as historical
- discontinuous with known Jewish or Christian tradition
- consistent with Jesus' execution

Evaluating Historicity

For Literary Texts

Additional considerations in favor of historicity:

- the author is careful with his sources
- the author has a good reputation; he's not a scandal-monger
- the author is reporting about the historical person or event
- the text itself has integrity: it was not likely changed by a later Christian scribe

Evaluating Historicity

- Provenance
- Analogy

For Archaeological Sites & Artifacts Stratigraphy

Historical Evaluation of Artifacts

- All artifacts are historical. The question is, what history, or whose history, do they attest?
- Historians doubt the historicity of traditions or artifacts:
 - the later the first report of the artifact's existence
 - the more they match later or developing traditions rather than the circumstances of Jesus' lifetime
 - $\,{}^{\scriptscriptstyle \rm O}\,$ they amplify the miraculous, or the role of dreams and visions
 - they fill gaps or answer questions in stories
 - they include other and later "scripts"